# 2020 ZERO TRUST PROGRESS REPORT

## ZERO TRUST CONFIDENCE IS MIXED

Confidence in applying Zero Trust model in their Secure Access architecture

**47%** Not Confident

**53%** Have Confidence

## THE TOP 5 ZERO TRUST ACCESS

### TENETS

1. Continuous authentication, authorization — **67%**
2. Trust earned through entity verification — **65%**
3. Data protection — **63%**
4. End-to-end access visibility and audit — **56%**
5. Facilitate least privilege access — **54%**

### DRIVERS

**85%** — Security/data protection — 1
**70%** — Breach prevention — 2
**56%** — Reduce endpoint and IoT security threats — 3
**52%** — Reduce insider threats — 4
**43%** — Industry/regulatory compliance — 5

## ZERO TRUST PRIORITIES

**71%** gearing up to improve their Identity and Access Management (IAM)

**30%** seeking to simplify secure access delivery including enhancing user experience and optimizing administration and provisioning

**45%** concerned with public cloud application access security, and **43%** with BYOD exposures

**53%** plan to move Zero Trust access capabilities to a hybrid IT implementation

## PUTTING ZERO TRUST TO WORK

**29%** have a model in place or underway

**43%** have projects planned

**27%** have no plans or are unfamiliar

## ZERO TRUST SPEND

**40%** increase budget
**45%** same budget
**15%** decrease budget

## ZERO TRUST WHEN

**37%** have implemented or will implement

**28%** have no plans

**36%** plan to adopt in > 10 months

## ZERO TRUST ACCESS DEPLOYMENT

**25%** plan to solely use SaaS-based Zero Trust Access capabilities

**53%** plan to implement Zero Trust Access capabilities on-premises and SaaS (hybrid IT)

**11%** are satisfied with our current in-house Secure Access implementation to consider SaaS-based Zero Trust access

**11%** expressed too many legacy applications or compliance restrictions to consider SaaS-based Zero Trust access

Get the complete 2020 Zero Trust Progress Report at
**www.pulsesecure.net/resource/2020zero-trust-report**

Pulse Secure